

**Appl. No.** : **09/736,670**  
**Filed** : **December 13, 2000**

### **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

Applicant thanks Examiner Jagdish Patel for the telephonic interview extended to Applicant's representatives, Michael L. Fuller (Reg. No. 36,516) and Mincheol Kim (Reg. No. 51,306) on August 31, 2004. The following summarizes the discussion during the interview.

Applicant's representatives discussed Gindlesperger (U.S. Patent No. 6,397,197) and Cupps (U.S. Patent No. 6,553,347), but failed to reach agreement on the rejection for obviousness.

Applicant's representatives also proposed amendments to Claims 1 and 12 in order to overcome the rejection for indefiniteness. The Examiner agreed that such amendments were likely to overcome this rejection. Applicant amended Claims 1-12 herein to reflect the proposals made during the interview.